

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/038,546	KRYMSKI, ALEXANDER .I.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Carramah J. Quiett	2622	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED

 (1) Carramah J. Quiett, Patent Examiner.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) David Beck, Applicant's Representative.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 October 2006
**Time:** approx. 1:00pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

NONE

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's Representative returned Examiner's call. The examiner wanted to confirm the status of the present application. Applicant's Representative stated that correspondence/communication had not been filed since 04/11/2006. Examiner stated that she would be sending a Notice Of Abandonment.